Altai State University Institute of Digital Technologies, Electronics and Physics Department of Computing Technique and Electronics

#### A METHOD OF PREPARATION RESOURCE PLAN IN ERP-SYSTEM IMPLEMENTARTION PROJECTS BASED ON BENCHMARKING AND ESTIMATOR

XII International conference on high-performance computing systems and technologies in scientific research, automation of control and production (HPCST-2022)

> Dmitry Yu. Stepanov, PhD of technical sciences, MIREA – Russian Technological University

Theoretical and Practical Conference 20-21 May 2022

#### 1. Introduction



**Fig. 1.** ERP-project and it's essential parts: efforts, duration, resources, budget

The goal of the paper is propose fast algorithm to shape resource plan for ERPsystem implementation projects. Following tasks will be performed to achieve the goal:

- review existing approaches to shape resource plan;
- introduce estimator for software developments;
- describe benchmarking for ERP-system implementation stages;
- suggest algorithm to create resource plan quickly;
- compare existing approaches and proposed algorithm.

#### 3.1. Overview of the methods to prepare resource plan (1 of 2)



critical path and critical chain

#### 3.1. Overview of the methods to prepare resource plan (2 of 2)

	Stage	Analysis	Des	ign			Build			T	est	Cutover	Support	
ect	Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Dec	Jan	
5	Number of monthes	1	2	1			5				2	1	1	
	Number of working days	11	19	19	21	18	21	21	21	21	20	20	11	
_		400	100							60	<b>60</b>	50	20	
8	Project manager	100	100	80	80	80	80	80	80	60	60	50	30	
Ē	Logistics consultant	30	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	300	100	
đ	Finance consultant	30	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	300	100	
2 U	ABAP consultant	0	0	0	100	200	300	200	200	100	100	100	50	
SoL	Data migration consultant	30	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Re	Basis consultant	100	0	100	0	50	100	100	100	50	0	100	0	
s)	Project manager	11	19	15	17	14	17	17	17	13	12	10	3	
-day	Logistics consultant	3	19	19	21	18	21	21	21	21	20	60	11	
nan	Finance consultant	3	19	19	21	18	21	21	21	21	20	60	11	
ts (r	ABAP consultant	0	0	0	21	36	63	42	42	21	20	20	6	
Effor	Data migration consultant	3	19	19	21	18	21	21	21	21	20	20	11	
	Basis consultant	11	0	19	0	9	21	21	21	11	0	20	0	

### **Fig. 3.** Example of bottom-up approach to shape resource plan based on efforts

#### 3.2. Overview of estimator to develop software

RICEF type	Complexity	Design efforts (man-days)	Build efforts (man-days)
Report	Very high	5	20
Report	High	4	15
Report	Medium	3,5	14
Report	Low	3	12

Fig. 4. Example of software development estimator

#### 3.3. Overview of benchmarks for ERP-project stages



Fig. 5. Benchmarking of stages duration in % and working days

4.1. Implementing the algorithms to shape resource plan: linking project duration and efforts

### Duration = Efforts / Number of persons,

where duration is a number days, efforts is man-days estimation required to perform a task, quantity of persons characterizes people, 100% dedicated for the project.

# 4.2. Implementing the algorithms to shape resource plan: proposed algorithm

- 1. Calculate the number of persons according to (1), where efforts are to be taken from estimator and duration is calculated based on benchmarking for the design stage. Align computed number of people with calendar. Thus, you have updated duration for the design phase also;
- 2. Do the same for the build stage, therefore you will find the number of human resources and duration for this stage;
- 3. Having calculated duration for the design and build stages, let's compute all other project phases using benchmarking. It will allow you to calculate duration of whole project;
- 4. Duplicate human resources from design and build stages to all other phases. However, there should be no developers at analysis stage. So, I have roughly built human resource plan.

## 4.3. Implementing the algorithms to shape resource plan: example of applying algorithm without optimization

		A	naly	/sis																													
			Design																														
Liuman											E	Buil	d																				
	Stage																		Те	st													
																			Integ	gratic	n T	est	t User Acceptan			nce T	est						
rocouroo																												Сι	utov	er			
resource																														Su	ррс	rt	
	Month	1			2				3			4	1			5				6			7				8				9		
	Number of working days	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6 (	5 5	5	56	55	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6	55	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
	Duration of stage (days)			16						3	6						4	42									53		10				21
	Team																																
Consultant 1	Logistics	5	55	6	5	5	5	6 (	5 5	5	5 6	6 5	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Consultant 2	Logistics	5	55	6	5	5	5	6	55	5	5 6	5 5	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6	55	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Consultant 3	Finance	5	55	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5	5 6	5 5	5 5	5 5	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Developer 1	Development										6	65	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Developer 2	Development										6	5 5	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Developer 3	Development										6	5 5	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Developer 4	Development										6	6 5	5 5	55	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	56	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5

Fig. 6. Example of resource plan created based on proposed algorithm without optimization, total efforts are 1038 man-days

## 4.4. Implementing the algorithms to shape resource plan: example of applying algorithm with optimization

			naly	sis																												
					De	esi	gn																									
											В	uilo	ł																			
	Stage																	Т	est													
Llumon																		Int	egra	tion	Test	Us	ser A	Acce	ptar	nce T	est					
Human																											Сι	ıtov	er			
resource																													Su	ррс	rt	
	Month	1			2			3	3			4				5			6			7				8				9		
	Number of working days	55	5	6	5	5	5	65	5 5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5 5	55	6	5	5	5 6	55	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
	Duration of stage (days)			16						36	;						42	2								53		10				21
	Team																															
Consultant 1	Logistics	5	5	6	5	5	5	6 5	5 5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5 \$	5 5	6	5	5	5 6	5 5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Consultant 2	Logistics				5	5	5	6 5	5 5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5 \$	5 5	6 6	5	5	56	5 5	5	5	6	5	5	5				
Consultant 3	Finance	5	5	6	5	5	5	6 5	5 5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5 \$	5 5	6 6	5	5	56	5 5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Developer 1	Development										6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	6	5	5	56	5 5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Developer 2	Development										6	5	5	5	6	5 (	5 5	6	5	5	5 6	5 5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6	5	5	5
Developer 3	Development										6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	6	5	5	56	5 5										
Developer 4	Development										6	5	5	5	6	5 5	5 5	6	5	5	5 6	<b>3</b> 5										

**Fig. 7.** Example of resource plan created based on proposed algorithm with optimization, total efforts are decreased to 887 man-days (15% less)

### 5. Comparison of algorithms



#### Fig. 8. Comparison of bottom-up approach and proposed algorithms

- The article suggests a method that allows you to quickly build an ERP-project resource plan, using planned efforts as input parameters for design as well as build stages. Proposed method is much quicker in comparison with existing bottom-up approach.
- The method ensures the creation of a human resource plan from the start date of the project. To form a plan from the end date of the project, it is necessary to reduce the duration of the design stage and increase the number of its human resources. At the same time, it should be considered that the shorter duration of the stage, the higher risk of the project failure, regardless of the increased number of resources.
- Further development of the method is clarifying the algorithm for reducing resources and including activities not related to design and build in the scope of the project.

#### Thank you!

Dmitry Yu. Stepanov mail@stepanovd.com

MIREA – Russian Technological University Department of Corporate Information Systems